This article was downloaded by: [Johann Christian Senckenberg] On: 26 August 2014, At: 07:43 Publisher: Routledge Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Experimental Aging Research: An International Journal Devoted to the Scientific Study of the Aging Process

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uear20>

Effects of intermittent feeding upon growth, activity, and lifespan in rats allowed voluntary exercise

Charles L. Goodrick ^a, Donald K. Ingram ^a, Mark A. Reynolds ^a, John R. Freeman ^a & Nancy L. Cider ^a ^a Laboratory of Behavioral Sciences, Gerontology Research Center, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore City Hospitals , Baltimore, MD, 21224, U.S.A. Published online: 27 Sep 2007.

To cite this article: Charles L. Goodrick , Donald K. Ingram , Mark A. Reynolds , John R. Freeman & Nancy L. Cider (1983) Effects of intermittent feeding upon growth, activity, and lifespan in rats allowed voluntary exercise, Experimental Aging Research: An International Journal Devoted to the Scientific Study of the Aging Process, 9:3, 203-209

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03610738308258453>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at<http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Effects of Intermittent Feeding Upon Growth, Activity, and Lifespan in Rats Allowed Voluntary Exercise'

CHARLES L. GOODRICK², DONALD K. INGRAM², MARK A. REYNOLDS², JOHN R. FREEMAN², AND NANCY L. CIDER²

From weaning until death, male Wistar rats were housed in activity-wheel **cages** with one group maintained **on an** ad libitum (AL) diet and another provided the diet every-other-day (EOD). EOD-fed rats had a mean lifespan of 124 weeks compared to **103** weeks for AL-fed rats. While post-weaning body weight and growth rates were reduced **among** the EOD-fed animals compared to AL-fed animals, there was no significant difference in growth duration. Positive correlations were observed between lifespan and estimatcs of growth rate and duration in the *AL* group but not *in* the EOD group; thus, little evidence was produced to support the hypothesis that growth rate **is** inversely related to longevity. While the EOD feeding regimen resuked in higher activity levels later in life, wheel activity levels were actually lower in this group in early life compared to the AL group. The observation of reduced wheel activity **among** young rats fed **EOD** was replicated in a second experiment. Thus, little support was obtained for the hypothesis that increased activity mediates the beneficial effects of dietary restriction **on** longevity, unless this mechanism is active late in the lifespan.

Substantial increases in the lifespan of laboratory rodents have been produced through a variety of dietary restriction regimens begun early in life when compared to the survival of counterparts **on** ad libitum dietary regimens [for reviews, *see* **1; 21. These** manipulations share a common feature in that each involves a reduction in the normal protein and/or caloric intake of the animals. While dietary restriction may retard many age-related biological changes, such **as** disease and tumor incidence, mechanisms responsible for the associated prolongevity effects remain unidentified [1].

One classic hypothesis proposes that dietary restriction **retards** the 'organism's genetic program for growth and development **[22; 26; 27; 28; 301.** which **suggests** a morphogenetic mechanism for the prolongevity effect. Dietary restriction does reduce body weight growth compared to groups fed ad libitum. However, the hypothesized negative correlation between growth rate and lifespan has **been** supported in some studies [**1 1; 12; 13; 341** but refuted in others **[9; 14; 18; 191.**

The current study assesses another hypothesized mechanism mentioned as a possibility by McCayet al. **[30]** and more formalized by Jakubczak **(211** and Drori and **Folman** [a]. **Specifical**ly it concerns whether the prolongevity effect of dietary restriction is mediated by concomitant increases in spontaneous activity, which in turn promotes enhanced vigor. It is well documented that animals deprived of food and/or water for short periods show increased wheel-running [e.g., **39; 42; 43);** however, **less** consistent results have emerged from studies in which activity was measured in cages that record total random movements [cf., **32; 39. 42; 431.** Nevertheless, the hypothesis that dietary restriction increases survival by inducing spontaneous exercise remains tenable.

With the exception of two investigations **[IS; 301** that provided exercise, all previous studies have examined the effects of dietary restriction **on** the survival of rodents housed in conventional laboratory cages. Skalicky et al. **[37]** examined the combined effects of dietary restriction and forced exercise **on** a variety of biological parameters but not survival. **In** the study of Goodrick et al. [15], a regimen of every-other-day feeding was **introduced** to adult rats **(IOand** *18.5* mo) housed in activitywheel cages. When the amount of voluntary wheel-running of these rats was compared to that of similarly housed, ad libitum fed rats, dietary restriction was found to increase activity. When the survival distributions of these two groups of exercised rats were compared to groups of similarly treated but nonexercised rats, exercise was found to have **no** independent effect **on sur**vival, while dietary restriction did. Similar findings were reported by McCay et **al. [30],** who also examined the effects of exercise and dietary restriction **on** survival when introduced separately or in combination to adult rats. Exercise was effective in increasing survival in only one diet combination **[17].** Since various exercise regimens introduced shortly after weaning in laboratory rodents have resulted in prolongevity **[6;** 13; **331,** these findings and others **[7; 17; 301** suggest that there may be **an** age threshold beyond which increased activity, or exercise, is ineffective in producing increases in lifespan and in fact may be detrimental **[7].** Moreover, **an** analysis of functional indices of aging suggested that an exercise regimen diminished the beneficial effects of moderate dietary restriction when the two treatments were combined **1371.**

Thus, the major objective of the present study was to examine the effects of dietary restriction, when introduced shortly after weaning, **on** the survival of rats allowed voluntary wheel exercise. By analyzing the effects of dietary restriction **on** wheel activity, body weight growth, and survival, we hoped to clarify whether activity was a mediating factor in prolongevity induced through dietary restriction.

IThe Gerodontology Research Center is fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care. *From the Laboratory of Behavioral Sciences, Gerontology Research Center, National Institute **on** Aging, Baltimore City Hospitals, Baltimore. MD **21224,** USA.

⁼I983 Beech Hill Enterprises. Inc.

Experiment **1**

Method

Subjects. The subjects were 76 male Wistar rats obtained at weaning *(5-6* weeks) from the rodent colony maintained at the Gerontology Research Center. The animals were doubly housed in suspended metal cages (Wahmann), equipped with an automated and filtered watering system. **A** 24% protein diet (NIH-07 formula) was provided ad libitum. The cage racks also were equipped with excrement pans filled with wood shavings, and these were cleaned three times weekly. All racks and cages were exchanged for clean units once a month or, if conditions warranted. more frequently. The cages were located in a vivarium regulated at $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, with a 12-hr light: 12-hr dark photocycle.

Procedure. After **1** week of acclimation to the vivarium, all pairs of cage-mates were transferred to activity-wheel cages (Wahmann), described previously [13]. Two groups were then formed on the basis of diet. For 52 of the animals. NIH-07 laboratory chow was provided **on** an ad libitum (AL) basis. For the remaining 24 animals. this diet was provided on an intermittent basis, every-other-day (EOD). In the EOD group, food was provided in the morning hours and removed from the food hoppers **on** the following morning. **All** animals were weighed monthly for the first 4 mo, after which they were weighed every 3 mo over the next 14 mo. Animals in the EOD feeding group were weighed only **on** mornings when food **was** removed. The number of wheel revolutions was recorded on a mechanical counter mounted on each cage, and these were observed daily. **All** the animals were permitted to survive in the wheel-activity cages until death. The cages were checked daily, and any dead animals were removed when discovered.

Results

Intermittent feeding resulted in a substantial increase **in** the survival of these exercised rats. The survival distributions and mean lifespans of the two groups are presented in Figure 1. The difference in mean lifespan of 20% was statistically signficant according to a two-tailed *t*-test, $t(74) = 4.3$, $p < .0001$. The estimates of mean lifespan paralleled estimates of median lifespan, 104 weeks for the **AL** group versus 127 weeks for the **EOD** group. The marked difference in survival was **also** anal-

m ,., I BOOY WEIGHT IGRAMS 400 200 I I 1.5 2.5 3.5 4.5 6.0 90 120 15.0 18.0

Figure **1.** Survival distributions and mean *2* **SEM** survival of male Wistar rats housed in activity-wheel cages and fed ad libitum (AL: $n = 52$) or every-other-day (EOD: $n = 24$).

AGE Imal

yzed with the Lee-Desu statistic [24], which indicated that the groups were likely derived from different survival distributions, $D(1) = 17.91, p < .0001.$

Intermittent feeding **also** produced marked effects **on** several body weight parameters. Figure 2 presents the mean body weights of the **AL** and EOD groups **as** a function of age. Body weight gain in the EOD group was reduced substantially (about **20%)** compared to the **AL** group. These body weight data were submitted to a 2 (group) **x** 9 (age) analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures **on** the last factor for unequal *n* [4]. The analysis revealed significant main effects for group, $F(1,74) = 90.13$, $p < .0001$, and for age, $F(8,592) = 1,279.0$, $p<.0001$. The analysis also revealed a significant group by age interaction, $F(8,592) = 13.27$, $p < .0001$.

Because of the group by age interaction, the simple main effects were tested to establish where the significant group differences existed *146).* **A** weighted **MS** error term was computed using the least-squares solution of the ANOVA for unequal *n.* With the exception of the groups' starting body weights, the mean body weights of the EOD group were significantly lower than those of the AL groups at all ages ($ps < .05$).

Other parameters of body weight growth were **also** examined. Presented in Figure 3 is a group comparison of **peak** body weight (PKBW), the highest body weight that each rat obtained, and growth duration **(GD),** the age at which PKBW **was** obtained. A *t*-test indicated that the mean PKBW of the AL group was significantly higher compared to the EOD group (about 16%), $t(74) = 7.02$, $p < .0001$. However, the mean GD was not significantly different between the two groups, $t(74) < 1.0$.

In addition, a within-group analysis was made of the correlations (Pearson product moment) between survival and monthly body weights, including estimates of growth rate across these intervals. Growth rate (GR) was defined **as** follows: GR = (BWT2 - BWTl)/BWTl, where BWTl quals an **animal's** body weight *at* the younger age, and BWT2 equals that animal's body weight at the older age for the intervals specified in Figure 2. This growth rate parameter was found to correlate about 0.90 with other measures of body weight gain in Wistar rats (181. In the current analysis **no** significant correlations emerged between survival and monthly body weights (including PKBW) in *citha* the **EOD** or the AL group. Consistent with our previous findings [18], however, growth rate estimates in the **AL** group were positively correlated with lifespan between 12-15 **and** 15-18 mo $(75 = .21$ and $.31$, respectively, with $p < .05$ for the latter).

Figure 2. Mean body weights at selected age-intervals for male Wistar rats housed in activity-wheel cages and fed either ad libitum (AL) or every-other-day **(EOD).**

Figure 3. Mean peak body weight (A) and mean growth duration (B) of male Wistar rats housed in activity-wheel cages and fed either ad libitum (AL) or every-other-day (EOD).

In addition, there was a significant positive correlation *(r=* 0.49, $p<.01$) between GD and lifespan in the AL group but not in the EOD group.

Finally, an analysis was made to determine the effects of the dietary treatment **on** wheel activity. **Mean** daily wheel revolutions were estimated separately for fed and fasted days in the EOD group over two week intervals at **six** ages shown in Figure 4. Daily wheel revolutions were estimated similarly in the AL group in order to conduct an appropriate statistical analysis. Because the animals were housed in pairs, it was impossible to determine the activity of individuals precisely. **Thus,** estimates of activity are presented **on** a per animal basis, but the degrees of freedom in the statistical analysis reflect the use of doublehousing. The data in Figure 4 reflect the activity only of those cages in which either one or both cage-mates survived for *22* mo, which was 92% in the AL group and 100% in the EOD group.

As observed, activity tended to decline with age. The agerelated decline was greater in the AL group; however, differences in activity between the diet groups were dependent upon age and upon whether the EOD animals were fed or fasted (Figure 4A). A **2** (group) **x 2** (day) x **6** (age) ANOVA with repeated measures **on** the last two factors for **unequal** *n* [3] failed to confirm a significant main effect for group, $F(1,35) = 2.37$, *p>.05,* although the overall mean daily wheel revolutions per rat in the EOD group was 1,874 compared to 1,604 in the AL group. Significant group differences, however, were indicated by the significant three-way interaction of group by day by age. $F(5,175) = 41.12$, $p < .0001$. Further analysis of the simple, simple main effects *[46]* indicated that **on** fasted days the **EOD** group was significantly more active than the AL group at every age analyzed **@s<.05).** During the fed days, the EOD group was significantly less active than the AL group at *5,* 8.5, and 12 mo, the three youngest ages **@s<.05),** but the activity level of the EOD group **on** fed days became significantly higher by *22.5* mo, the oldest age. Within the **EOD** group, wheel activity was significantly higher **on** fasted days than **on** fed days at every age *(ps<.05).* As expected within the AL group, wheel activity levels were virtually identical on both days at every age (ps>.05). Finally, **as** observed in Figure **4B,** comparisons were made bet-

Figure 4. Mean \pm SEM daily wheel revolutions per animal at selected intervals across the lifespan of male Wistar rats fed either ad libitum (AL) or every-other-day (EOD) and plotted according to activity **on** fed and fasted days (A) and **on** all days *(8).*

ween diet treatment groups collapsed across fed and fasted days. Mean daily activity of the EOD groups was significantly lower than that of the AL group at *5* mo *(p>.05),* not different at **8.5** and 12 mo *@s>.05),* and then significantly higher at 15.5 mo and older ages (ps<.05).

Experiment 2

That the EOD groups exhibited generally lower wheel activity compared to AL groups at *5* mo was a surprising observation **in** view of the past studies reporting higher activity during short-term observations of food restricted animals [e.g., 39, 42, 431. Thus, we determined the **need** for a replication of these findings in a second experiment in which wheel activity was analyzed across 2-week intervals from weaning to **7** mo.

Method

The same procedure applied in Experiment I was used **to** monitor the wheel activity of 8 double-housed, male Wistar rats fed AL and 8 fed EOD from approximately 1.5 to **7** mo of age.

Results

The mean daily wheel revolutions were analyzed over 2-week intervals, using a **2** (group) by 2 (day) by **11** (age) ANOVA with repeated measures **on** the last two factors [4]. Again the estimates of activity were made on a per rat basis, but the degrees of freedom in the statistical analysis reflected the doublehousing.

Figure *5* demonstrates that activity peaked in both groups around 3 mo and declined thereafter. Differences between groups were again dependent upon age **and** upon whether the **EOD** groups were fed or fasted (Figure 5A). The ANOVA

Figure 5. Mean \pm **SEM daily wheel revolutions per animal dur**ing development of male Wistar rats fed either ad libitum (AL) or every-other-day (EOD) and plotted according to activity on fed and fasted days **(A)** and **on** all days (B).

revealed significant main effects due to group, $F(1,6) = 17.74$, *p<.OO6;* to day, **fll,6)=278.8,** *p<.OOl;* and to age, $F(10,60) = 18.20$, $p<.001$. In addition, all interactions were significant, including group by day, $F(1,6) = 292.9$, $p < .001$; group by age, $F(10,60) = 3.44$, $p < .001$; day by age, $F(10,60) = 4.14$, $p < .001$; and group by day by age. $F(10,60) = 5.36, p < .001$.

Further post-hoc analyses using tests of simple main effects **[46]** revealed that activity level of the AL group did not differ across days **@s>.OS);** whereas, the activity level of the EOD group differed between fed and fasted days. Activity was always higher **on** fasted **days** than on fed days among animals in this group, $ps < .05$. On fed days, activity was significantly higher at every interval **among** the AL-fed animals compared to the EOD group. *ps<.OS.* On fasted days. activity was significantly higher in EOD group compared to the AL group at the two youngest ages. *ps<.05,* but the **diet** groups did not differ significantly at **all** other ages. *ps>.OS.* More revealing was the comparison of the **mean** daily wheel revolutions **collapsed across** fed and fasted days for **the** EOD and AL groups (Figure **SB).** Total activity was higher among EOD animals only at the youngest age, but this difference only approached statistical significance, **6.07.** At **all** other ages, estimates of **mean** daily wheel revolutions were higher among **AL** groups compared to that of EOD groups. The differences were significant at every interval but two of the last three, $ps<0.05$.

General Discussion

A regimen of intermittent feeding begun shortly after weaning was found to substantially increase the survival of male rats allowed whed *exercise* throughout life. Results of this and other studies indicated little evidence to support hypotheses that the prolongevity effect was due to retarded body weight growth or to increased activity.

The observed *20%* increase in **mean** survival of the EODfed rats over that of AL-fed rats was less dramatic than previously **reportcd** following the same feeding **regimen** in this laboratory **[14, IS].** The most parsimonious explanation for this comparatively **smaller** dietary effect **on** lifespan appears to concern the availability of wheel exercise. Voluntary **exercise** *can* increase lifespan in weanling AL-fed rats **[13].** The **mean** lifespan of **103** weeks in the *AL* group in the current study **was** about **36%** higher than in similarly treated, although nonexercised, AL-fed groups in our laboratory **[14]. Thus,** the **less** dramatic effect of intermittent fading **on** lifespan in this study *appears* to reflect primarily the increased survival of the AL-fed control group allowed voluntary wheel-exercise.

There is **also** an indication that intermittent feeding was somewhat less effective in enhancing lifespan in **these** exercised rats, when compared to the survival of groups fed EOD in conventional cages. The mean lifespan of **124** weeks in the exercised EOD group is nearly **14** weeks shorter than that of a caged EOD group previously observed in our laboratory **[14].** Of course, a *direct* comparison of lifespan data between our previous study and the current one is possibly complicated by factors attributed to different starting times. Nevertheless, the observations of reduced survival among EOD-exercised animals relative to EOD-caged animals would be consistent with those of Skalicky et al. **(371,** who estimated biological age in Sprague-Dawley rats based **upon** functional indices at several levels of **organization.** They reported that a regimen of forced exercise begun at **6** mo of age reduced the beneficial effects observed in rats **on** a regimen of intermittent feeding.

The post-weaning body weight and growth rates among the EOD-fed animals were reduced compared to AL-fed **animals** in the present study. **This** observation is consistent with earlier findings using similar nutritional manipulations **[l; 21. In** addition, these data, reflecting between-group comparisons, are consistent with the early evidence supporting the hypothesis that dietary restriction retards an **animal's** growth and development **[I 1; 12; 13; 28; 291.** In several of thesc studies, growth rate within groups of similarly treated animals was found to be negatively correlated with lifespan, while growth duration was positively correlated with lifespan [11; 12; 13]. Subsequent analyses questioned the validity of the **results** of these within-group analyses [**181.** The consistent negative relationship between lifespan and growth rate **reported** in earlier studies from this laboratory [**1 1** ; **12; 131** was clarified. The measure of growth rate **used** in these studies was defined quantitatively **as** the ratio of peak body weight to growth duration. Further analysis indicated that this growth rate parameter lacked construct validity and represented a redundant measure of growth duration **[18].** Recent results indicate that at older ages growth rate generally is positively correlated with longevity in laboratory rodents **[14;** 18; **191,** which supports an earlier report of this relationship in male Wistar rats **[9].**

In the present study, lifespan was unrelated to most body weight parameters within each group of exercised animals, which is consistent with the most recent **findings** in our laboratory **(13; 181.** The positive relationships between lifespan and growth rate estimates between **12** and **18** mo among AL-fed animals replicates the findings of one of these earlier **analyses [18]. In** addition, growth duration was positively correlated with lifespan but only in the AL-fed group.

Clearly the most damaging evidence in the current study against the morphogenetic hypothesis of prolongevity induced through dietary restriction was the observation that the groups did not differ significantly in **growth** duration, *even* though the EOD regimen clearly produced enhanced survival. Further damaging evidence against the morphogenetic hypothesis is reflected in the findings that some treatments *can* effect lifespan extension when introduced to adult laboratory rodents, which have already or nearly reached their **peak** body weights (e.g.. 115; **40;** 441). Further experimental evidence against the hypothesis **also** was provided by Evcritt **[8].** who demonstrated that injections of growth hormone failed to increase lifespan in adult rats. **As** previously **argued,** while **dietary** restriction **ob**viously alters growth patterns, it is likely that the relationship of **growth** rate to prolongevity is coincidental **[14; 181.** The study of biological aging in rats by Skalicky et al. **[37] also** supports the view that the mechanisms responsible for prolongevity induced by dietary restriction are independent of those controlling body weight growth. These investigators observed that parameters suggesting improved biological aging in rats **on regimens** of *dietary* restriction and forced **exercise** were affected at ages unrelated to changes in body weight.

The major objective of the present study was to determine whether the enhanced survival of the **EOD** group was associated with increased activity resulting from intermittent feeding [6; **201.** Drori and Folman *[5;* 61 documented that mating, like exercise, increases the longevity of male rats. The testosterone levels in testes of males allowed to mate had **been** found to be higher than in those of unmated males **[la].** Testosterone, when implanted in male rats, was shown to increase **spontaneous** running activity **[38]. Drori** and **Folman** [a] suggested that the increased longevity of mated male rats may be the result of more voluntary exercise induced by elevated levels of testosterone. Drori and Folman further argued that exercise. rather than retarded growth, is the decisive factor in prolonging lifespan in dietarily restricted males, **since** dietary restriction, like testosterone, induces greater spontaneous activity.

In the present study, little evidence was found to support the hypothesis that increased activity mediated the prolongevity effect of intermittent feeding. The intermittent schedule of food restriction was associated with higher activity in the run-wheels **as** measured **on** the days the animals were fasted compared *to* the days they were fed. Activity **on** fasted days was also significantly higher among rats fed EOD than that of the AL group at all ages in Experiment **1.** including the youngest age examined-5 mo. In Experiment **2,** this relationship held only at the two youngest ages. At all other ages up to **7** mo, there were **no** significant differences in activity levels between the AL group and the EOD group **on** fasted days. This discrepancy in findings between the two experiments at the younger ages appears to result from the slightly higher activity levels among the control animals in the second experiment.

In spite of this difference, the two experiments produced consistent results regarding the most important observation. The level of total activity for EOD-fed rats was significantly lower than that of AL-fed rats during most of early development up to about **7** mo. Data from the second experiment indicated that the EOD regimen induced initially higher levels of total activity only during first **2-4** weeks of treatment, but even this difference did not reach statistical significance. If it had, then this Observation would have been consistent with many previous reports of increased activity in **young,** food-deprived animals **[39; 42; 431.** After this **period,** however, there was apparently an adaptation to the feeding schedule that resulted in lowered

activity levels. Only beyond **12** mo was the level of total activity clearly higher *in* the EOD group.

The level of runwheel activity apparently peaks at a young age **(3-5** mo) and declines steadily thereafter. The rate of decline **among EOD** animals appcared to **be** much slower relative to that in the AL group. Whether this difference in later life is a reflection of the *dietary* manipulation in particular or of enhanced behavioral vigor in general is difficult to determine.

Thus, regarding **an** increased activity effect **on** prolongevity associated with **dietary** restriction in this regimen, one conclusion emerges. **Unless** this mechanism is operative late in life, it is likely not a major factor in enhancing survival, because the restricted animals were actually less active generally than the AL group during early life.

There are several methodological problems that confuse this conclusion to some degree, however. First, it is problematical that the current study does **not** provide data **on** food intake; therefore, the degree of **dietary** restriction is unknown. Second, it should be recognized that the extent to which each animal of the pair *exercised* in the EOD group **was** not known; therefore, it is possible that one exercised more than the other and that this one did accrue some beneficial effects. Third, the quality of exercise **was** not analyzed. It **is** possible that parameters, such **as** increased **speed** of running per unit time, were more important than were simple counts of total daily activity. Finally, it should be recognized that **no** data were available regarding the activity levels of the animals when not in the runwheels. Olewine et al. **[32],** though, found decreased spontaneous activity levels **as** measured by a stablimeter in the home cage of dietarily restricted rats *(50%* diet reduction) compared to At-fed controls.

Results of the present study clarified the apparently paradoxical findings of Olewine et al. **[32]** that restricted rats show increased runwheel activity but lowered spontaneous activity in the home cage. Measurement of runwheel activity in that study was made around 9-10 mo of age. This approximated the age at which we observed the change in our EOD groups from relatively lower to higher wheel activity compared to AL groups.

In a previous study **[I51** we also observed that the EOD regimen induced higher wheel activity when introduced to rats at **10.5** and 18 mo of age, and that this difference was maintained throughout life. **In** spite of higher runwheel activity among EOD-fed rats, this factor did not significantly increase survival **as** compared to that of rats housed in cages and begun **on** an EOD regimen at these *ages.* The EOD treatment, however, did increase survival compared to AL-fed groups, whether they were in conventional cages or in activity-wheel cages. **Thus,** these results demonstrated that higher wheel activity in later life is not likely to enhance longevity. This finding generally agreed with that of McCay et al. **[30],** who concluded that forced exercise had no general beneficial effect **on** survival of adult rats. Therefore, it is possible that the higher activity noted during late life in the current study did not enhance longevity either. It should be noted. though, that the level of activity among the animals in the present study that were exposed to this treatment from weaning was considerably higher than *that* **observed** among rats housed in runwheels beginning at either 10 or 18.5 mo of age **[15].**

The activity hypothesis is **also** important with respect *to* another observation from our laboratory. Levine et al. **[26]** reported that the EOD regimen introduced at weaning retards the age-associated loss of striatal dopaminergic receptors in male Wistar rats. The dopamine (DA) receptor concentrations in the striata of EOD-fed rats at **24** mo were **50%** higher than in those of AL-fed animals of the same age. This finding is especially important since reduced responsiveness of the striatal DA system

is one of the best-documented, age-associated functional impairments of the mammalian brain [e.g., *22;* 36; **411. As** Levine et al. suggested. the retarded loss of striatal DA receptors probably represents a consequence rather than a cause of lifespan extension by dietary restriction. Nevertheless, this finding provided direct evidence that dietary restriction affects certain deleterious age-associated functional losses. Thus, it is particularly important to determine whether the retarded **10s** of DA receptors was due to the nutritional manipulation per se or whether higher activity induced by the treatment mediated the effect in **a brain** region that is responsible for modulating motor behavior. The present data suggest that the modulatory effect of higher activity was possible primarily in later life since this was when there was clear evidence of higher activity among EOD-fed animals. To test this hypothesis, it would be of interest to examine the DA receptors of animals begun on an exercise regimen relatively late in life.

In summary, although chronic regimens of voluntary exercise and dietary restriction both appear to reduce body weight across the lifespan in laboratory rats and increase activity late in the lifespan. it is likely that altered growth patterns and activity levels are coincidental in their relationship to prolongevity. Alternative hypotheses propose that dietary restriction may serve to invoke other mechanisms beneficial to survival. such **as** improved immunological responsiveness **(10; 451.** On the other hand, certain investigators **[3]** have expressed concern that the purported control conditions are "unnatural" and that they exert accelerated **aging** in laboratory animals (ad libitum feeding in small cages). The distinction between the view of dietary restriction **as** a prolongevity treatment vs. ad libitum feeding **as** an accelerated aging treatment has yet to be addressed experimentally. What is clear is that nutritional manipulations of several types can modulate basic aging processes in these animals.

References

I. Barrows. C.H., & Kokkonen. G.C. Relationship between nutrition and aging. In H.H. Draper (Ed.), *Advances in nutritional research*. New York: Plenum Pub. Corp., **1977,** pp. **253-297.**

2. Barrows, C.H.. & Kokkonen. G.C. Diet and life extension in animal model systems. *Age.* **1978,** *1.* **131-143.**

3. Cutler. R.G. Life-span extension. **In** J.L. McGaugh & S.B. Kiesler (Ed.), *Aging: Biology and bchavior.* New York: Academic Press. **1981,** pp. **31-75.**

4. Dixon, W.T., & Brown, M.B. *BMDP-79: Biomedical computer programs P-series.* Los Angela: University of California Press, **1979.**

5. Drori, D., & Folman, Y. The effect of mating on the longevity of male rats. *Experimental Gerontology*, 1969, 263-266.

6. Drori. D., & **Folman, Y.** Environmental effects **on** longevity in the male rat: Exercise, mating. castration, and restricted feeding. *€rperimento1 Gerontology.* **1976.** *11.* **25-32.**

7. Edington, D., Cosmas, A., & McCafferty, W. Exercise and longevity: Evidence for a threshold age. *Journalof Grrontology,* **1972.27. 341-343.**

8. Everitt, A.V. The effect of pituitary growth hormone on the aging male rat. *Journal of Gerontology,* **1959, 14. 415-424.**

9. Everitt. A., & Webb, C. The relation between body weight changes and life duration in male rats. *Journal 01 Gerontology,* **1957,** *12.* **128-135.**

10. Gerbase-DeLima, M.. Liu, R.K., Cheney, K.E., Mickey, R.. & Walford. R.L. Immune function and survival **in** a long-lived mouse strain subjected to undernutrition. *Geronrologia.* **1975, 21, 184-202.**

I I. Goodrick. C.L. Body weight change over the life span and longevity for CS7BL/6J mice and mutations which differ in maximal body weight. *Gerontology,* **1977.** *23,* **405413.**

12. Goodrick. C.L. Body weight increment and length of life: The effect of genetic constitution and dietary protein. *Journal of Gerontology,* **1978,** *33,* **184-390.**

13. Goodrick, C.L. Effects of long-term voluntary wheel exercise **on** male and female Wistar rats. I. Longevity. body weight, and metabolic rate. *Gerontology.* **1980, 26. 22-33.**

14. Goodrick. C.L., **Ingram.** D.K.. Reynolds, M.A.. Freeman. J.R., &Cider. **N.L.** Effects of intermittent feeding **upon growth** and life **span** in rats. *Gerontology,* **1982, 28. 233-241.**

IS. Goodrick, **C.L., Ingram,** D.K., Reynolds, M.A., **Freeman.** J.R.. & Cider. **N.L.** Differential effects of intermittent feeding and volun**tary** *exercise* **on** body weight and survival in adult **rats.** *Journalof Gerontology.* **1983. 38, 36-45.**

16. Henry, *2..* **Folman.** Y., & Drori, D. The testosterone content of the testes of mated and unmated rats. *Journalof Endocrinology,* **1969,** *44,* **127.**

17. Ingram. D.K.. *d* Reynolds, M.A. Effects of dietary restriction and exercise **on** survival in adult rats: A re-analysis of McCay. Maynard, Sperling, and Osgood (1941). *Experimental Aging Research*, 1983, 9, **4142.**

18. Ingram, D.K. Reynolds, M.A., & Goodrick, C.L. The relationship of sex, exercise. and growth rate to lifespan in the Wistar rat: A multivariate correlational approach, *Gerontology,* **1982, 28, 23-32.**

19. Ingram. D.K.. Reynolds, M.A.. & Les, E.P. The relationship of genotype. sex, body **weight,** and growth parameters in lifespan in inbred and hybrid mice. *Mechanisms of Ageing and helopment,* **1982. 20. 253-266.**

20. Jakubczak. L.F. Age differences in the effects of terminal food deprivation (starvation) **on** activity, weight **loss,** and survival of rats. *Journol of Gerontology,* **1967.** *22,* **421-426.**

21. Jakubczak. L.F. Behavioral aspects of nutrition and longevity in animals. In M. Rockstein & **M.L.** Sussman (Eds.), *Nutrition, longevity, and aging.* New York: Academic Press. **1976.** pp. **103-122.**

22. Joseph. J.A., krger, R.E.. Engel, B.T.. & Roth. **G.S.** Age-related changes in the ncostriatum: A behavioral and biochemical analysis. *JOWnu1 of Gerontology,* **1978,** *33,* **643-649.**

23. Lansing, **A.** Evidence of ageing as a consequence of growth cessation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences: USA,* **1978,** *34.* **304-310.**

24. Lee. E., & **Dcsu, M. A** computer program for comparing K samples with right-censored data. Computer Programs in Biomedicine, 1972, **2. 315-321.**

25. Leveille, **G.A.** The long-term effects of meal-eating on lipogenesis, enzyme activity. and longevity in the rat. *Journal of Nutrition,* **1972.** *102,* **549-5 56.**

26. Levin. P.. Janda. J.K.. Joseph, **J.A..** Ingram. D.K..&Roth. *G.S. Dietary* restriction retards the age-associated **loss** of striatal doparninergic receptors. *Science,* **1981, 214. 561-562.**

27. McCay, C.M. Chemical aspects of ageing and the effect of diet upon ageing. **In** A. Lansing. *Cowdry's problems of ageing.* Baltimore: Williams and Williams, **1952.** pp. **139-202.**

28. McCay. C.M., Crowell. M.F., & Maynard, L.A. The effect of retarded growth **upon** the length of life **span** and upon the ultimate body size. *Journal of Nutrition.* **193s.** *10,* **63-79.**

29. McCay, C.M.. Maynard. L.A.. Spcrling, G., & **Bans,** L.L. Retard*ed* growth. lifespan, ultimate body size and age changes in the albino rat after feeding diets restricted in calories. Journal of Nutrition, 1939, *18,* **1-13.**

30. McCay. C.M., Maynard, L.A.. Sperling. G. & Osgood, H. Nutritional requirements during the latter half of life. Journal *of Nufrition,* **1941.** *21.* **45-60.**

31. **.Minot, C.S.** *The problem of age, growth. and death.* New York and London: Knickerbocker Press, 1908.

32. Olewine. **D.A..** Barrows, C.H., & Shock. **N.W.** Effect of reduced

EFFECTS OF **INTERMITTANT** FEEDING **209**

dietary intake **on** random and voluntary activity in male rats. *Journal of Gerontology,* **1964,** *19,* **230-233.**

33. Retzlaff, E., Fontaine, J., & Furuta, W. Effect of daily exercise **on** lifespan of albino rats. *Geriotrics,* **1966.** *21,* **171-177.**

34. Ross, M.H., Lustbader, E., & Bras, G. Dietary practices and growth responses **as** predictors of longevity. *Nature.* **1976,** *262.* **548-553.**

35. Sacher, G.A. Life table modification and life prolongation. **In C.E.** Finch & L. Hayflick (Eds.), *The handbook of the biology of aging*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1977, pp. 582-638.

36. Severson, J.A.. & **Finch,** D.E. Reduced dopamincrgic binding during aging in the rodent striatum. *Brain Raeorch,* **1980,** *192,* **147-162.**

37. Skalicky, M., Hofecker, G., Kment, A., & Niedermüller, H. Models of the biological age of the rat. **11.** Multiple regression models in the study of influencing aging. *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development*, **1980,** *14,* **361-377.**

38. Smith. L.C., & Dugal, L.P. Influence of testosterone on the **spon**taneous running activity of white rats. *Canadian Journal of Physiology and Phomacology.* **1%.** *44.* **682486.**

39. Strong. P.N., Jr. Activity in the white rat **as** a function of apparatus and hunger. *Journol of Comparative ond Physiological Psychology.* **1957,** *50, 5%600.*

40. Stuchliková, E., Juricová-Horáková, M., & Deyl, Z. New aspects of the dietary effect of life prolongation in rodents. What is the role of obesity in aging? *fiperimenfal Geronfology,* **1975.** *10,* **141-145.**

41. Thal, **L.J..** Horowitz. *S.G.,* Dvorkin. **B.,** & Makman. M.H. Evidence for **loss** of brain **['HI** spiroperidol and **['HI** ADTN binding sites in rabbit brain with aging. *Brain Research*, 1980, 192, 185-194.

42. Treichler, F.R., & Hall. **J.F.** The relationship between deprivation weight loss and several measures of activity. *Journal of Comparative and Physiologic01 Psychology,* **1962,** *55.* **346-349.**

43. Weasner, M.H.. Finger, F.W., & Reid. **L.S.** Activitychanges under food deprivation **as** a function of recording device. *Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology, 1960, 53, 470-474.*

44. Weindruch, R., & Walford, R.L. Dietary restriction in mice beginning at **1** year of age: Effect **on** life-span and spontaneous tumor incidence. *Science,* **1982,** *215,* **1415-1418.**

45. Weindruch, R.H.. Kristie. **J.A.,** Cheney, K.E.. & Walford. R.L. Influence of controlled dietary restriction **on** immunological function and aging. *Federofion Proceedings.* **1979,** *38.* **2007-2016.**

46. Winer, B.J. Statistical principles in experimental design. New York: McGraw-Hill, **1971.**